UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

STATEMENT OF REASONS

V.

CHARLES A. GUIDA

Case Number: 1: 04 CR 10162 - 001 - DPW Charles P. McGinty, Federal Defender's Office Defendant's Attorney

The court adopts the factual findings and guide	line application in the presentence report.			
	OR			
The court adopts the factual findings and guide	The court adopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report, except (see attachment, if necessary):			
	See Continuation Page			
Guideline Range Determined by the Court:				
Total Offense Level: 18				
Criminal History Category:				
Imprisonment Range: 27	to 33 months			
Supervised Release Range: 2	to 3 years			
Fine Range: $${$6,000.00}$	to \$ \$60,000.00			
	09/07/04			
Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.: XXX-XX-0428	Date of Imposition of Judgment			
Defendant's Date of Birth: XX/XX/1944	/s/ Douglas P. Woodlock			
Defendant's USM No.:	Signature of Judicial Officer			
Defendant's Residence Address:	The Honorable Douglas P. Woodlock			
2 Allston Street #116 Medford, MA 02155	<u>-</u>			
redioid, MA 02133	Judge, U.S. District Court			
	Name and Title of Judicial Officer			
	9/7/04			
Defendant's Mailing Address:	Date			

SAME

AO 245B

Case 1:04-cr-10162-DPW Judgment in a Criminal Case - D. Massachusetts

(or in offenses committed before April 23, 1996, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(d)).

a restitution order in the foreseeable future under any reasonable schedule of payments.

Partial restitution is ordered, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c), for the following reason(s):

Document 15

Filed 09/07/2004

Page 2 of 3

Statement of Reasons - Sheet 2

18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(B).

DEFENDANT:	CHARLES A. GUIDA	Statement of Reasons - Page 2	of	3
	1: 04 CR 10162 - 001 - DPW			
	STATEMENT OF REASON	S		
Fine waived or be	elow the guideline range because of inability to pay.			
Total Amount of Rest	itution: \$			
	stitution is not ordered because the complication and prolongation a restitution order outweighs the need to provide restitution to any v			

Restitution pursuant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordered in this title 18 property offense because the number of identifiable victims is so large as to make restitution impracticable, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(A).

Restitution pursuant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordered in this title 18 property offense because determining complex issues of fact and related to the cause of amount of the victim's losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree that the need to provide restitution to any victim is outweighed by the burden on the sentencing process, pursuant to

For offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994 but before April 23, 1996 that require the total amount of loss to be stated, pursuant to Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18, restitution is not ordered because the economic circumstances of the defendant do not allow for the payment of any amount of a restitution order, and do not allow for the payment of any or some portion of

R	Case 1:04-cr-10162-DPW Document 15 Judgment in a Criminal Case - D. Massachusetts	Filed 09/07/2004	Page 3 of 3
ט	Judginent in a Chillinal Case - D. Massachusetts		

AO 245B Judgment in a Criminal Case - I Statement of Reasons - Sheet 3

Statement of Reasons - Page 3 of 3

DEFENDANT: CHARLES A. GUIDA
CASE NUMBER: **1: 04 CR 10162 - 001 - DPW**

	STATEMENT OF REASONS
X	The sentence is within the guideline range, that range does not exceed 24 months, and the court finds no reason to depart from the sentence called for by the application of the guidelines.
	OR
	The sentence is within the guideline range, that range exceeds 24 months, and the sentence is imposed for the following reasons:
	OR
	The sentence departs from the guideline range:
	upon motion of the government, as a result of a defendant's substantial assistance, or
	for the following specific reason(s):

See Continuation Page